

March 1, 1983

Mr. Charles A. White Regional Administrator CA Department of Health Services Hazardous Waste Management Branch 2151 Berkeley Way Berkeley, CA 94704

Dear Mr. White:

Enclosed please find the Operations Plan for IT Corporation's Vine Hill Facility as per your request. This Plan was completed in accordance with the "Instructions For Preparing An Operation Plan For A Hazardous Waste Facility" dated November 1981. As this is an existing facility, some areas of the instructions were not applicable and were not included in this Plan.

If you require any supplemental or clarifying information related to this Plan, please contact Mr. Mark Posson at (415) 228-5100.

Very truly yours,

David L. Bauer Vice President

Environmental Affairs

DLB/MP/kg Enclosure

Vent System

The vent system is designed as a vapor recovery system for the tank farm and treatment areas. Vapors are collected from each associated tank through a vent pipe heater system. The vapors are carried to one of two incinerators. The vapors pass through a caustic scrubbing system prior to the large incinerator and through a post-combustion scrubbyer in the case of the small incinerator.

Tank Description

Table VI-1 describes each tank used for the treatment and/or storage of hazardous waste. Table VI-2 describes other tanks present at the facility. Figure VI-1 illustrates the tank and piping diagrams for the treatment area of the facility.

Each tank is identified by tank number and by a DOT placard which displays both the hazard class and either the United Nations or North American identification number of the material contained in the individual tank.

Table VI-1 specifies the certification dates for each tank. As the facility is an existing facility, a schedule of compliance has been developed for tank certification. The following exerpt from the certification procedure describes the compliance schedule.

IT Corporation preventative maintenance policies provide for all tanks to be removed from service on various frequencies for repairs and cleaning. In addition, routine maintenance on tanks and systems many times results in tanks being out-of-service. Here, out-of-service refers to a tank that has been emptied, cleaned and prepared for entry. To avoid unnecessary and unwarranted downtime, tank certification tests and inspections will be done only when tanks are out of service due to preventative or routine maintenance. When a number of tanks are

TABLE VI-1. TANK DESCRIPTION

ank #	Certification/Test Date	(Gallon)	Use	Dimensions (Dia. & Ht.)	Shell Thickness	Shell Construction	Lining	Vapor Re cove ry
1		15,282	Waste Acid	10'0"x26'0"		Mild Steel	Hypalon	Yes
2		18,220	Waste Acid	10'0"x30'0"	.449"672"	Mild Steel	Hypalon	Yes
3		18,220	Waste Acid	10'0"x30'0"		Mild Steel		
4		5,034	Conc.H ₂ SO ₄	8'x13'9"		Mild Steel	None	No
5		10,549	Conc.H ₂ SO ₄	9'1"x22'10"		Mild Steel	None	Na
11		23,018	Calcium Carbonate	18'1"x12'0"	.140"180"	Mřld Steel	None	Yes
12		23,018	Waste Caustic	18'1"x12'0"	.257"195"	Mild Steel	None	Yes
13	•	22,512	Waste Caustic	18'0"x11'10"	.250"186"	Mild Steel	None	Yes
14	:	22,512	Waste Caustic	18'0"x11'10"		Mild Steel	Placite	Yes
15	10/26/82	17,699	Waste Caustic	15'10"x12'1"	.220"290"	Mild Steel	None	Yes
16		17,879	Waste Caustic'	15'10"x12'2"	.323"250"	Mil'd Steel	None	Yes
17		18,000	Waste Caustic	15'10"x12'2"		Mild Steel	None	Yes
18		17,757	Waste Caustic	15'10"x12'1"	.180"240"	Mild Steel	None	Ye
19		17,849	Waste Solvent	15'10"x12'2"		Mild Steel	None	Yes
20		17,879	Waste Solvent	15'10"x12'2"		Mild Steel	None	Yes
21		17,939	Waste Fuel	15'10"x12'2"	.304"229"	Mild Steel	Placite	Yes
22		17,939	Waste Fuel	15'10"x12'2"		Mild Steel	None	Yes



August 27, 1986

Mr. Douglas Krause Department of Health Services 2151 Berkeley Way, Annex,7 Berkeley, CA 94704

Mr. Barney Griswald Bay Area Air Quality Management District 939 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109



RE: REPORT OF TANK 16 INCIDENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 67145 (j) of the CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, TITLE 22

Dear Mr. Krause:

On August 12, 1986 at approximately 3:00 p.m. an incident occurred at IT's Vine Hill Treatment Facility requiring the implementation of the facility's Contingency Plan. Tank number 16 became overpressurized due to an unanticipated chemical reaction between an oil/water mixture and hydrogen peroxide. The oil and water mixture was being treated to convert hydrogen sulfides to sulfates. This reaction caused the waste material to overflow the tank and activate the pressure relief valve. The material was released to a maximum estimated height of 15 to 20 feet above the tank roof causing surfaces of nearby equipment and buildings to be covererd with the material. The waste analysis of the three loads of waste placed in Tank 16 are in Attachment 1.

The facility's Contingency Plan was immediately implemented. All personnel were notified to clear the affected area via the facility's loudspeaker. No injuries resulted from the incident. The Department of Health Services, Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the Contra Costa County Fire Marshal were notified of the incident. No outside assistance was required.

Prior to the incident, Tank 16 contained approximately 13,125 gallons of waste material. After the incident the tank contained approximately 7,875 gallons. Of the 5,250 gallons released from the tank, only 50 to 100 gallons of waste material was released outside of the tank's containment area.

The 5,150 to 5,200 gallons of waste material captured in the tank's containment area was removed via a vacuum truck and discharged to Tank 23 for treatment. The remaining 50 to 100 gallons of waste material released in the nearby area was contained and absorbed with sorbent.

D. Krause/ B. Griswald 8/27/86 Page 2

The affected nearby equipment and buildings were decontaminated with a steam cleaner. The decontamination of the buildings was verified by personnel from Health and Safety, Environmental Affairs, and Plant Operations. All contaminated sorbent and soil were removed with a front-end loader and placed into a dump truck. Approximately thirty-five (35) cubic yards of contaminated soil and sorbent (two loads of 15 cu. yards and one of 5 cu. yards), were manifested and shipped to Casmalia Disposal Site in Casmalia, California. (Copies of manifests are in Attachment 2.)

The following information relates to the owner/operator and address of the facility where the incident occurred.

Owner/Operator

International Technology Corporation

23456 Hawthorne Boulevard Torrance, California 90505

(213) 378-9933

Facility Address

Vine Hill Treatment Complex

896 Waterbird Way

Martinez, California 94553

(415) 372-9100

If you require further information concerning this incident, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely

G. Douglas Clark
Regional Director
Environmental Affairs

GDC:ECD:js LTR:0207

Attachments

cc: Art Braufman Hal Connors Howard Culver Eric Diethelm John Schaffer Attachment 1

Analyses of Waste Material in Tank 16



INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

PREATMENT/DISPOSA LOCATION FORM

Document No.

1			
Site	DRIVER PROTECTION	H & S REQUIREMENTS Respiratory Hard	Goggles or Protective Rubber Face Shield ⊠ Gloves ☐ Clothing ☐ Boots
Station	GEAR REQUIRED	☐ Protection ☐ Hat	Other
Designated By	REQUIRED	FOR WASHOUT: Face	Shield Gear Rubber Gloves
Generator	C	CONTENTS Concentration Charge	SOLIDS
Hauler	-	Concentration Charge Y/N	% Floating =
	pHLevel	10000	% Suspended =
Driver	Density		% Settled =
Material Description	Normality (NM)	111 N	- % Other =
M / H 20.	HCVP (HC)	PPM	
Date and Time Sample Received	Phenois (PN)	00	METALS Concentration Charge
Sample No	Sulfides (SL)	. 1.5	Y/N
12011 2 11/8	Cyanides (CN)	N 10 PPM	Nickel (NI)
Time Dispatched to Location	(Ammonia (AM))	090 PPM	Lead (PB)
Date 2 11 0/	Formaldehyde (FD)	2	Mercury (HG)
8-11-86	Oil (OL)	%	Cadmium (CD)
Job No.	Solids (SD)	%	Selenium (SE)
	Solids on Neutralization (SN)	« ·	Chromium (CR)
Phase Task Sub-Task			Arsenic (AS)
Profit Center 2772	1	OLVENTS	Thallium (TL)
2472	Polar (PL)	%	Copper (CU)
Disposal Site Type TK PD LF	Nonpolar (NP)	% —	OTHER METALS
Waste Manifest No	Halogenated (HA)	10 %	BC/16 -
8642504	OTHE	R CONTENTS	
Generated Out of State Y□ Nズ	Site Monitoring (MC	PAZZOS EA	
Intersite Transfer Y \(\text{N} \)	1-1-3-	10:30	
Waste Stream No. 4798			
Hazardous Classification			
Product Code DL003	OTHER CHARGES	Description	Chg. Employee#/ U.O.M. Qty. Y/N Equip ID#
	Floduct Code	Washout	U.O.M. Qty. Y/N Equip ID#
Ony. 5107. U.O.M.		Callout	
Actual Tons Disposed		Special Handling	
		Holiday Callout	
OFFICE USE: Minimum Disposal Charge Y \(\square\) \(\square\)		TR Pre-Disposal	
Minimum Disposal Tax Y N			
COMMENTS:	SPLIT SIT	TE 1 QTY	Y 1 UNIT
	LOAD	2	2 UNIT
S' 7 As veaus			
		2	
- 30ppm			011-
Consistency	Odor	Ana	lyst I be Cles
			The same of the sa

Document'i TECHNOLOGY LOCATION FORM CORPORATION 1-Tank DRIVER Site H&S Goggles or Protective X Face Shield ☑ Gloves ☐ Clothing REQUIREMENTS []Pond PROTECTION Unloading Respiratory Hard GEAR Station Landfill Protection Other ☐ Hat REQUIRED Designated By FOR WASHOUT: Face Shield Gear Rubber Gloves Generator CONTENTS SOLIDS Concentration Charge Y/N % Floating 6-8 Hauler pH Level % Suspended Driver Density % Settled % Other Normality (NM) Material Description HCVP (HC) PPM Date and Time Sample Rea ND PPM METALS Phenois (PN) Concentration Sulfides (SL) Sample No. Nickel (NI) Cyanides (CN) 4.11 1 Ammonia (AM) Lead (PB) Time Dispatchedio Location Formaldehyde (FD) Mercury (HG) Date 8-11-8 Cadmium (CD) Oil (OL) Selenium (SE) Solids (SD) Job No. Chromium (CR) Solids on Neutralization (SN) Phase Task Sub-Task Arsenic (AS) SOLVENTS Thallium (TL) Profit Center Copper (CU) Polar (PL) Nonpolar (NP) Disposal Site Type LF [OTHER METALS Halogenated (HA) Waste Manifest No OTHER CONTENTS Site Monitoring (MO) Generated Out of State NEX Intersite Transfer Y [Waste Stream No. Hazardous Classification OTHER CHARGES Chg. Employee #/ **Product Code** Qty. Description U.O.M. Equip ID# **Product Code** U.O.M. Washout Qty. 200 Callout Actual Tons Disposed Special Handling Holiday Callout OFFICE USE: TR Pre-Disposal Winimum Disposal Charge Y [NO Minimum Disposal Tax N UNIT SPLIT SITE 1 COMMENTS: ail is medium thick UNIT LOAD

Odor

Analyst

Consistency





Document No.

1				
Site Flank	DRIVER	H & S REQUIREMENTS X		Protective h
Unloading TR-16 []Landfill	GEAR	Respiratory Hard Protection Hat	Other	
Designated By	REQUIRED	FOR WASHOUT: Tace	Shield Gear Rubb	er Gloves
Generator	C	ONTENTS	SOL	IDS
Hauler	1	Concentration Charge Y/N	% Floating =	
Driver	pHLevel	0 >42	% Suspended =	
Diver	Density Normality (NM)	700 G/L	% Settled =	
Material Description / H 2 D	HCVP (HC)	20 PPM	76 Other	
Date and Time Sample Received	Phenois (PN)	ND PPM	MET	ALS
	Sulfides (SL)	140 PPM	Conce	ntration Charge Y/N
Sample No.	Cyanides (CN)	NIO PPM	Nickel (NI)	
Time Dispatched to Location	Ammonia (AM)	PPM	Lead (PB)	· ·
Cate — ~/	Formaldehyde (FD)	N 10 PPM	Mercury (HG)	
8-11-86	Oil (OL) Solids (SD)		Cadmium (CD)	
Job No.	Solids (SD)	70	Chromium (CR)	
Phase Task Sub-Task	Neutralization (SN)	%	Arsenic (AS)	
0.44.0	S	OLVENTS	Thallium (TL)	
Profit Center 2472	Polar (PL)		Copper (CU)	
D:sposal Site Type TK PD LF	Nonpolar (NP)	%	OTHER	METALS
Waste Manifest No.	Halogenated (HA)	WID % .		
84571 28	ОТНЕ	ER CONTENTS		
Generated Out of State Y□ NX	Site Monitoring (MC) NO < 1		· ·
Intersite Transfer Y N N	1-123	7-10/2-1		•
Waste Stream No. 4798				
Hazardous Classification				
Product Code DLC03	OTHER CHARGE Product Code	S Description	U.O.M. Oty.	Chg. Employee #/ Y/N Equip ID#
C:y. 4200 U.O.	1	Washout	<u> </u>	
Actual Tons Disposed		Callout		
		Special Handling Holiday Callout		
CFFICE USE: Minimum Disposal Charge Y□ N□ Minimum Disposal Tax Y□ N□		TR Pre-Disposal		
COMMENTS:	SPLIT SI	TE 1 QTY	1 UNIT	F
	LOAD	2	2 UNIT	
E-z in Aqueous.	-140			
cil Elamonio Ele				
Consistency	Odor	Anal	yst Slen 7	- lace
		1	1	10

Attachment 2

Manifests for Contaminated Sorbent and Soil Shipped to Casmalia Disposal Facility

MA	21	7	20	A-	3	t

- 6358	proprior type (Form designed for use on elite (12-pitch) typewriter		,					
1	UNIFORM HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST 1. Generator's US E	Docui	ment No.	2. Pag	is not law.	require	ne shaded areas d by Federal	
3.	Generator's Name and Mailing Address		A.State Manifest Document Number					
Janes and American	IT Corporation 0 Vine Hill Complex			490946				
1	896 Waterbird Way, Martinez, CA 94553		B.State Generator's ID					
15	Generator's Phone (415 372372-9100 Transporter 1 Company Name 6.	US EPA ID Numi	205	CAD	000094771	0 10 /		
113.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			D.Transporter's Phone 2. 76 - 747				
7.	Transporter 2 Company Name 8.	A D 9 & 0. 8. 8. 7 US EPA ID Numi			te Transporter			
					ransporter's Phone			
9.	Designated Facility Name and Site Address 10.	US EPA ID Numi	ber	G.Sta	te Facility's ID			
	Casmalia Disposal Site							
	NTU Toad			H.Fac	ility's Phone	*		
1	Cosmalia, CA 93108 C	4 D 0 2. 0.7.4 B	1 7 5		13.	1 44 1		
111	US DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard	(Class, and ID Number)	12.Conta		Total	14. Unit	l. Waste No.	
0			No.	Туре	Quantity	Wt/Vol	Waste No.	
E a. R	Hazardous Waste Solid N.O.S. 624-E NA	19189	0 1	DŢ	1.55Cu	Y	611	
A b.								
O								
C.								
11							4 1.4	
d.								
	•							
				·			3.61	
J.	Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above			K.Han	dling Codes fo	r Wast	es Listed Above	
	Contaminated Soil with Waste Oil	Company of the property	** ***					
			1 19	1.3	74.7	4 -		
11			7		*			
15	Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information	1						
	Gioves and Goggles Riguired							
	and angeles waddated			-				
1	OF USB ATORIO OF STATE OF STAT		A f11.		annatal, dana:	h = ul		
110	. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the con- above by proper shipping name and are classified, packed, ma	rked, and labeled, and ar	re in all re	spects	in proper condi	tion		
	for transport by highway according to applicable internation	onal and national govern	nmental r	egulati	ions.		Date	
1	Printed/Typed Name /	Signature					Month Day Year	
7	E. 1 1/1/61	1. 1 1	17.1	di ja		- 1	1.1.1.	
T 17	. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials						Date	
ANSP	Printed/Typed Name	Signature	,				Month Day Year	
5	Tur plane de		1/2	1.			05 19 36	
18	18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials						Date	
18 T E	Printed/Typed Name	Signature					Month Day Year	
-	Discourse of the state of the s							
19	. Discrepancy Indication Space							
F								
Ĉ								
20	Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of receipt of hazard Item 19.	ous materials covered b	y this ma	nifest	except as note	d in		
T		10:					Date Van	
	Printed/Typed Name	Signature					Month Day Year	

はラーランコトラ

MM2472)A-1-1

Department of Health Services
Toxic Substances Control Division
Sacramento, California

Pi	ease print or type (Form designed for use on elite (12-pitch) typewriter.)						
2	UNIFORM HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST 1. Generator's US EPA ID No.	Docum	nifest nent No	101	is not	require	he shaded areas ed by Federal
	3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address IT Corporation - Vine Hill Complex 84909463						
	IT Corporation - Vine Hill Complex 896 Waterbird Way, Martinez, CA 94553		B.State Generator's ID				
	4. Generator's Phone (415 372-9100 GAD000094771						
		ID Numb			e Transporter		
	7. Transportation - Benicia C A D 9 8 0 - 7. Transporter 2 Company Name 8. US EPA	ID Numb			a Transporter		Control of the Contro
		ne					
	9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address 10. US EPA						
	Casmalia Disposal Site						
	Casmalia, CA 93108 C A D 0 2:0:	7 4 8	1 2 *	Nietz.			
0	11. US DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and ID	Number)	12.Con No.	Type	13. Total Quantity	Unit Wt/Vol	Waste No.
EN	a.						
ER	Hazardous Waste Solid N.O.S. ORM-E NA9189		0 1	DŢ	15 Cu	Y	5,611
A	b.						Tim/and Tobs
R				1.			
	c.						
				1 . 1			
	d.						The state of the s
	· ·			1.1			
	J. Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above	X-212-345	阿斯	K.Han	dling Codes f	or Wast	es Listed Above
	Conteminated Soil with Waste 0:1			1.2		Service Service	
		Light S					
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information						
	Gloves and Goggles Required						
	16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this co- above by proper shipping name and are classified, packed, marked, and label						
	for transport by highway according to applicable international and nation	al govern	mental	regulation	ons.		Date
	Printed/Typed Name Signature	1/.	,				Month Day Year
V	17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials	11-1	2/				1-1/3/36
R	Printed/Typed Name Signature			~ /			Month Day Year
2 60 5	11110000	4		Mes	-		100 18 86
0	18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials	0					Date
E	Printed/Typed Name Signature						Month Day Year
	19. Discrepancy Indication Space						
					,		
FAC							
1	20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of hazardous materials of term 19.	covered by	this m	anifeste	except as note	d in	
T	Printed/Typed Name Signature						Month Day Year
	oightaire .			:			1 1 1 1 4

Department of Health Services Toxic Substances Control Division Sacramento, California

ease print or type. (Form designed for use on elite (12-pitch) typewriter.) Information in the shaded areas is not required by Federal UNIFORM HAZARDOUS 1. Generator's US EPA ID No. Document No CIAIGOIOIGOIYIYITITI WASTE MANIFEST 3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address A. State Manifest Document Number TTCORP - VINE HILL COMPLEX 896 WATER DIRD WAY MARTINEZ, CA 94553 8649400 B. State Generator's ID 4. Generator's Phone (Transporter 1 Company Name US EPA ID Number C. State Transporter's ID 808 97303 US EPA 10 Number IT TRANSPORT DENICIA D. Transporter's Phone 7. Transporter 2 Company Name E. State Transporter's ID F. Transporter's Phone 9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address G. State Facility's ID NTU ROAD CASTIALIA CA 93108 H. Facility's Phone 1C/A/d/0/2/0/7/4/8/1/2/5 12. Containers Avis and A 11. US DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and ID Number) Total Waste No. Quantity Type WASTE Solid N.O.S. ORM-E PSIPAN 15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information rogales REQUIRED 16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fully and accurately described above by proper shipping name and are classified, packed, marked, and labeled, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport by highway according to applicable international and national government regulations. Unless I am a small quantity generator who has been exempted by statute or regulation from the duty to make a waste minimization certification under Section 3002(b) of RCRA, I also certify that I have a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of waste generated to the degree I have determined to be economically practicable and I have selected the method of treatment, storage, or disposal currently available to me which minimizes the present and future threat to human health and the environment. Printed/Typed Name Daniel Dal Garage 17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name WIGHT 18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Signature Printed/Typed Name 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of hazardous materials covered by this manifest except as noted in Item 19. Month Day Y08! Printed/Typed Name Signature 1 8

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION
1111 JACKSON STREET, ROOM 6040
DAKLAND 94607

Phone: Area Code 415 464-1255



December 31, 1981

File No. 2321.1023 (WKB) vjw

Mr. David F. Gilbert, Manager E.I. DuPont DeNemours & Company Antioch Works P. O. Box 310 Antioch, CA 94509 Mr. Murray H. Hutchison I.T. Corporation 336 W. Anaheim Blvd. Wilmington, CA 90744

Gentlemen:

This letter concerns the reports submitted by DuPont regarding the tetraethyl lead (TEL) pond at IT Corporation's Vine Hill Site. Both Department of Health Services and State and Regional Board staff have reviewed the data and it is the opinion of these agencies that the reports' conclusions that the TEL waste is being contained is not supported by the data.

The data, and other statements in the reports, raise questions which will have to be answered before conclusions can be reached on the site's short and long term ability to contain these wastes such that they will not pose any threat to public health or the environment as a result of movement from the site or releases to the atmosphere. The attached contains specific questions which appeared when reviewing the reports. The Regional Board also believes that the data raises serious questions regarding the ability of the Vine Hill site to provide waste containment which must be addressed by IT Corporation in order to demonstrate compliance with Waste Discharge Requirements, Order No. 78-76.

We request that a meeting be arranged with all parties as soon as possible, but no later than thirty days after the date of this letter, to discuss the attached concerns and their implications for possible further investigations.

If you have any questions please contact Michael Kiado at the Department of Health Services at (916) 322-2337 or Wil Bruhns at the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board at (415) 464-0903.

Sincerely,

FRED H. DIERKER Executive Officer

Attachment

cc: Mr. Peter Rogers, DOHS-HWMB, Sacramento
Mr. Michael Kiado, DOHS-HWMB, Sacramento

Ms. Beverlee Myers, Director, DOHS, Sacramento

Greg Walker, Rogion 5

Gil Torres, SWRCB

Elgar Stevens, DOHS-HWI'B, Sacramento

ATTACHMENT TO DECEMBER 1981 LETTER

Questions and concerns raised by review of reports submitted by DuPont concerning TEL disposal at IT Corporation Vine Hill Site.

- 1. All borings on the perimeter of Pond 100 show higher levels of TEL and lead at the fill-Bay mud interface than above or below the interface ("Lead Results" report). The report does not discuss this data. We believe it may indicate vertical movement to this interface and lateral movement of wastes along the interface.
- 2. TEL was found in some borings (nos. 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33, B5, B8, B10) at depth in the Bay muds and in some cases down to and into bedrock. This data was not discussed in the report. We believe it may indicate extensive vertical and horizontal migration of TEL from Pond 100.
- 3. The presentation charts note that 20% of Pond 100 contents are "misc." These should be further identified. This is significant since the laboratory studies showing that TEL and lead do not migrate through soil used water as the carrying medium. However, the pond could contain chemicals which would enhance TEL and Fb migration through soil. Also the soil used in the laboratory studies does not match the soils at the Vine Hill Site. If this finding is to be used to document lack of movement you should clearly demonstrate a correlation between the laboratory and on-site soil types.
- 4. Borings at the edge of the study area indicated TEL in the soil up to 600 feet laterally and 87 feet vertically from Pond 100. We believe borings should be extended in all directions to determine the lateral and vertical extent of waste movement.
- E. "Lead Results" report notes that "fluid" drawn from 16 foot depth in Bay muds, Boring B-3, contained 323 ppm TEL and 8200 ppm lead. Please supply a complete analysis of this fluid.
- 6. Borings data from Volume 1 of the Geotechnical Report show that in borings 9, 9A, 11, B3, and B9 there were petroleum products below the fill in Bay muds. This appears to indicate that wastes can and have migrated through the Bay muds. Please discuss this finding in regards to the ability of the site to provide containment of all wastes.
- 7. The presentation chart states that some peat and clay permeability may be as high as 1×10^{-4} cm/sec. This should be elaborated on. Also, horizontal permeabilities were measured but the results not reported. Please provide this data.
- 8. The presentation charts note that a seismic survey of Pond 100 was done to detemine its depth. The results of that survey are not listed and should be provided.
- 9. The Geotechnical Report Volume 1 states that the P wells are still in place. During an inspection with a representative of IT-Corporation these wells could not be found. If the wells are still there, groundwater should be sampled and analyzed for TEL, lead and volatile organics and the results provided.

10. A registered engineer or engineering geologist with experience in dealing with hydrogeological aspects of waste migration should make an overall evaluation of the data in this report and any subsequent data which may be collected. In addition, the evaluation should consider earthquakes, faulting, hydrogeology, surface-water hydrology, site disturbance due off-site events, etc. Based on these evaluations definite conclusions should be made concerning this site's ability to contain the TEL waste.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9

10	In the Matter of)	Docket No. RCRA-09-84-005
11)	
	IT CORPORATION,)	DETERMINATION OF VIOLATION
12	(VINE HILL FACILITY))	COMPLIANCE ORDER
)	AND
13)	NOTICE OF RIGHT TO
	Respondent.)	REQUEST A HEARING
14	•	•	

DETERMINATION OF VIOLATION

16 A. INTRODUCTION*

1

2

3

5

15

This is a civil administrative action instituted pursuant
to Section 3008(a)(1) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery
Act (RCRA), as amended, 42 USC 6928(a)(1), and the Consolidated
Rules of Practices Governing the Administrative Assessment of
Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits,
Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits,
Protection Agency Region 9 (EPA). Respondent is IT Corporation.
IT Corporation (IT), a California Corporation, is a "person"
as defined in Section 1004(15) of RCRA, 42 USC 6903(15),
GAO CFR 260.10 and 270.2, and Section 25118 of the California
Fealth and Safety Code (H&SC), and is the "owner" and "operator,"
as defined in 40 CFR 260.10 and 270.2, of the Vine Hill Disposal

Facility, 966 Waterbird Way, Martinez, California, EPA Identification Number CAD000094771 (Facility).

- 3. On or about April 12 and 13, 1984, EPA and the California Department of Health Services (DOHS) conducted an inspection at the Facility and has reason to believe Respondent is in violation of Chapter 6.5 of Division 20 of the H&SC and Section 3004 of RCRA, 42 USC 6924.
- 4. By letter dated August 3, 1984, EPA gave notice of these violations to DOHS, as required by Section 3008(a)(2) of RCRA, 42 USC 6928(a)(2).

B. JURISDICTION

- 5. On or about August 18, 1980, pursuant to Section 3010 of RCRA, Respondent notified EPA that it generated, treated, stored or disposed of hazardous waste identified or listed under the authority of Subtitle C of RCRA and 40 CFR Part 261 (hazardous waste) at the Facility, and therefore is subject to the interim status standards or owners and operators of hazardous waste facilities as required by 40 CFR Part 265 and Section 3004 of RCRA, 42 USC 6924.
- 6. Federal regulations establishing interim status standards for owners and operators of hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities became effective on November 19, 1980, 40 CFR Part 265.
- 7. On November 18, 1980, pursuant to Section 3005 of RCRA, 42 USC 6925, and 40 CFR 270.10(e)(3), Respondent submitted to Complainant Part A of the RCRA permit application.
- 8. On April 6, 1981, DOHS imposed interim status standards of operation on the Facility by means of an Interim Status Document

. 5

(ISD) issued pursuant to Section 25200.5 of the H&SC.

- 9. On June 4, 1981, EPA awarded to the State of California
 Phase I Interim Authorization to administer the RCRA hazardous
 waste program as provided by Section 3006(b) of RCRA and 40 CFR
 Part 271, Subpart B (formerly Part 123, Subpart F). Phase I
 Interim Authorization requires, inter alia, that the State of
 California impose interim status standards as required under
 RCRA Section 3004, 42 USC 6924.
- 10. On January 11, 1983, EPA awarded to the State of California

 Phase II-A Interim Authorization to administer standards for storage
 and treatment facilities (excluding surface impoundments) as
 provided by Section 3006(b) of RCRA and 40 CFR Part 271, Subpart
 A.
- 11. On September 26, 1983, the State of California issued a Final Hazardous Waste Facility Permit (permit), which authorizes the storage and/or treatment of hazardous wastes under the conditions specified in the permit.
- 12. In accordance with General Condition 1.1 of the ISD, Respondents are subject to certain federal regulations pursuant to Section 25159.6 of the H&SC, which provides, in pertinent part, that

[u]ntil such time as the department [i.e., DOHS] adopts standards and regulations corresponding to and equivalent to, or more stringent than, regulations adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to [RCRA] ... [a]ny person who owns or operates a hazardous waste facility shall comply with this chapter and regulations adopted thereunder and, in addition ... such person shall also comply with federal regulations adopted pursuant to Sections 3004 and 3005 of the that act [i.e., RCRA]. (emphasis added)

///

8 11

~ '

The State of California, as of the date of this action, has 1 :. not adopted standards or regulations corresponding to and equivalent 1) to, or more stringent than, EPA regulations set forth at 40 CFR Part 265, promulgated pursuant to Section 3004 of RCRA, 42 USC 4 6924. As a result, Respondents are subject to 40 CFR Part 265 5 through Section 25159.6 of the H&SC. 6 Section 3008 of RCRA, 42 USC 6928, authorizes the Administrator of EPA to issue orders requiring compliance immediately or 8 within a specified time for violation of any requirement of 9 Subtitle C of RCRA, 42 USC 6921 et seq. 1() Section 3006 of RCRA, 42 USC 6926, provides, inter alia, 11 that authorized state hazardous waste programs are carried out 12 under Subtitle C of RCRA. Therefore, violation of any requirement 13 of law under an authorized state hazardous waste program is a 14 violation of a requirement of Subtitle C of RCRA. 15 16. Respondent, by violating requirements of California's author-16 ized hazardous waste program, has violated Subtitle C of RCRA 17 and therefore is subject to the powers vested in the Administrator, 18 EPA, by Section 3008 of RCRA, 42 USC 6928. 19 VIOLATIONS 20 Count I 21 Section III,8(b)(2) of the permit [40 CFR 264.14(b)(2)(i)] 22 requires the Facility to have an artificial or natural barrier 23 which completely surrounds the active portion of the facility 24 which would prevent unauthorized entry. 25

On or about April 12, 1984, the EPA inspector observed and

photographed an opening in the perimeter fence of the Facility,

in violation of Section III.8(b)(2) [40 CFR 264.(b)(2)(i)].

28

26

Count II Section III 9.(b)(1) of the permit [40 CFR 264.15(b)(1)] requires owner or operator to develop and follow a written schedule for inspecting all monitoring equipment, safety and emergency equipment, security devices, and operating and structural equipment that are important to preventing, detecting, or responding to environmental or human health hazards. 8 21. Section III 9.(a) of the permit [40 CFR 264.15(b)(3)] requires the owner or operator to identify the types of problems which 10: are to be looked for during the inspection. 11 On or about April 12 and 13, 1984, the EPA inspector observed 12 that Respondent's inspection schedule does not address the types 13 of problems to be looked for during the inspection, in violation of Section III 9.(a) of the permit [40 CFR 264.15(b)(3)]. 14 15 Count III Section IV 3.(d)(2) of the permit [40 CFR 264.194(a)(2)] 16 requires owner or operator to gather monitoring equipment data from each operating tank at least once each operating day, to 18 ensure it is in good working order. On or about April 12 and 13, 1984, the EPA inspector observed 20 that Respondent's daily inspection report does not include data from monitoring equipment for each operating tank, in violation 23 of Section IV 3.(d)(2) of the permit [40 CFR 264.194(a)(2)]. 24 Count IV Section IV 3.(d)(3) of the permit [40 CFR 264.194(a)(3)] require 25 owner or operator to inspect the level of waste in each tank, at least once each operating day.

On or about April 12 and 13, 1984, the EPA inspector observed

that Respondent's daily inspection report does not include data on the level of waste in each tank, in violation of Section IV 3.(d)(3) [40 CFR 264.194(a)(3)].

Count V

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Section VIII of the ISD [40 CFR 265.90] requires the owner or operator to implement a ground water monitoring program capable of determining the facility's impact on the quality of ground water in the uppermost aquifer underlying the facility, or prepare a written ground water monitoring waiver demonstration documenting the low potential for migration of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents from the facility via the uppermost aquifer to water supply wells or surface water. On or about April 11 and 13, 1984, the EPA inspector observed that Respondent has failed to implement a ground water monitoring program capable of determining the Facility's impact on the quality of ground water in the uppermost aquifer underlying the Facility, and has failed to demonstrate that there is a low potential for migration of hazardous waste or hazardous waste 19 constituents from the facility via the uppermost aquifer to water supply wells or surface water, in violation of Section VIII of the ISD [40 CFR 265.90]. The Facility's ground water monitoring program is inadequate in that: 1) the ground water monitoring system is not capable of yielding ground water samples which are representative of background ground water quality in the uppermost 25 aquifer underlying the Facility; 2) the ground water sampling and analysis plan does not include procedures and techniques for sample shipment, analytical procedures and chain of custody control; 3) Respondent has failed to analyze for all required

1 parameters; 4) Respondent has failed to obtain the required 2 replicate measurements for each ground water sample; 5) Respondent 3 has failed to establish the initial background arithmetic mean 4 and to determine statistically significant increases over initial 5 background; 6) Respondent has not determined the elevation of the 6 ground water surface each time a sample was obtained; and 7 7 Respondent has not prepared an outline of a ground water 8 quality assessment program. 9

Count VI

- Section XII 1. of the ISD (40 CFR 265.222) requires a surface 11 impoundment to have at least two feet of freeboard.
- 12 30. On or about April 12 and 13, 1984, the EPA inspector observed 13 that Pond 102B had less than two feet of freeboard, in violation of 14 Section XII 1. of the ISD (40 CFR 265.222).

Count VII

15

23

- 16 31. Section XII 2. of the ISD (40 CFR 265.223) requires all earthen 17 dikes to have a protective cover, such as grass, shale, or rock, 18 to minimize wind and water erosion and to preserve their structural 19 integrity.
- 20 32. On or about April 12 and 13, 1984, the EPA inspection revealed 21 that dikes at the Facility did not have protective cover, in violation 22:of Section XII 2. of the ISD (40 CFR 265.223).

Count VIII

Section IV 3.(f)(1)(b) of the permit [40 CFR 264.198(a)(2)] 25 prohibits ignitable or reactive waste from being placed in a 26 tank, unless the waste is stored or treated in a way that it is 27 protected from conditions which may cause the waste to ignite or 28 react.

1	34. On or about December 6, 1983, an explosion occurred in tank					
1)	in violation of Section IV 3.(f)(1)(b) of the permit [40 CFR					
() ()	264.198(a)(2)].					
4	35. On or about February 29, 1984, an explosion occurred in tank					
. 5	16, in violation of Section 3.(f)(1)(b) of the permit [40 CFR					
6	264.198(a)(2)].					
7	D. CIVIL PENALTY					
8	Section 3008(g) of RCRA, 42 USC 6928(g), authorizes a civil					
9	penalty of up to \$25,000 per day for each violation of Subtitle (
10	of RCRA, 42 USC 6921 et seq. EPA hereby assesses the following					
11	penalties: Count I					
12	Failure to have a barrier which would prevent					
13	unauthorized entry\$ 400					
14	Count II, Count III, and Count IV					
15	Failure to have a inspection schedule which identifies the types of problems to be					
16	looked for during the inspection\$ 7,000					
17	Count V					
18	Failure to implement an adequate ground water monitoring program\$20,000					
19	Count VI					
20	Failure to maintain required freeboard					
21	for surface impoundment\$ 500					
22	Count VII					
23	Failure to have protective cover on earthen dikes\$ 9,000					
24	Count VIII					
25	Failure to protect ignitable or reactive waste					
26	from conditions which may cause the waste to ignite or react\$20,000					
27	TOTAL PENALTY\$56,900					
00:						

23,

COMPLIANCE ORDER

A. PAYMENT OF CIVIL PENALTY

It is hereby ordered that Respondent shall submit a certified or cashier's check in the sum of FIFTY-SIX THOUSAND AND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS payable to "Treasurer of the United States."

The check shall be remitted to the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S.

EPA, Region 9, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, California

94105, within 30 days of the effective date of this Determination of Violation, Compliance Order and Notice of Right to Request a Hearing (hereinafter "Complaint").

B. COMPLIANCE

1

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22:

23

24

25

1. Respondent is ordered to come into compliance with the permit, the ISD, and with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 264 and 265; and to document said compliance by submittal of following items in the specified times frames.

16 Calendar Days from Effective Date of Order

Count I

19 A) Close opening in the fence.

5 days

Count II, Count III, and Count IV

- B) Submit inspection schedules which include the following items:
 - 1) The appropriate inspection requirements of 40 CFR 265.13, 40 CFR 265.174, 40 CFR 265.194, 40 CFR 265.226, and 40 CFR 265.347; and
 - 2) Identifies types of problems to be looked for during the inspection.

15 days

27:1/

28 1//

			•
1			Calendar Days from Effective
-		Compliance Required	Date of Order
3		Count V	
. 5	(C)	Submit an outline of a ground wate monitoring system which complies w 40 CFR Part 265 Subpart F.	rith
8	e e de l'annue de l'an	1) Identify at least one well loc which is hydraulically upgradi the waste management area and of yielding ground water sampl representative of background a affected by the Facility.	ent of capable es
9 10 11 12 13	American appropriate to the case of a communication of the case of a communication of the case of a communication of the case	Certify that all existing moni- wells are designed to maintain integrity of the borehole, to sampling at depths where appro- aquifer flow zones exist, and contamination of samples and the ground water.	the enable priate to prevent
14 15	The strength of the strength	3) Submit an amended ground water and analysis plan which compli- 40 CFR 265.92(a).	
16	1 1	4) Submit an outline of a ground quality assessment program which complies with 40 CFR 265.93(a)	ch
17 18	the state of the s	5) Install upgradient/background ground water monitoring well	60 days
19 20 21		6) Obtain and analyze samples from each monitoring well and submit analytical results to EPA and I (analyze for all parameters specified in 40 CFR 265.92(b))	30 days thereafter for six consecutive
22	j	Count VI	
23 24	D)	Increase the freeboard level at Porto at least two feet. Count VII	nd 102A 3 days
25	E)	Establish protective cover for ear	then dikes. 45 days
26	1	Count VIII	
27 28	F)	Submit a plan including waste plan plan to protect ignitable or react; waste ignition or reaction in any	ive

Respondent shall provide documentation of compliance within 2 the time periods specified above to Chief, Toxics and Waste 3 Programs Branch, Toxics and Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA, 4 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, California 94105, with a copy 5 to DOHS, Toxic Substances Control Division, 2151 Berkeley Way, 6 Berkeley, California 94704 and the Regional Water Quality Control 7 Board, 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6040, Oakland, California 94807. In the event Respondent fails to comply with any provision 9 of this Compliance Order, then, in accordance with Section 10 3008(a)(3) of RCRA, 42 USC 6928(a)(3), Respondents shall be Il liable for a civil penalty, in addition to the penalty set forth 12 in the Civil Penalty section of the Determination of Violation 13 herein, of not more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000.00) 14 for each day of continued noncompliance; and, in addition, permits 15 issued or authority to operate in lieu of the issuance of a permit 16 may be suspended or revoked.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

18 A. PUBLIC HEARING

17

In accordance with Section 3008(b) of RCRA, 42 USC 6928(b)
the Compliance Order set forth herein shall become final unless
Respondent file an Answer and request for public hearing in
writing no later than thirty days after the effective date of
this Complaint, with the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA, Region 9,
this Complaint, with the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA, Region 9,
for the Answer and request for hearing and copies of all other
documents relating to these proceedings filed with the Regional
Hearing Clerk should be sent to David M. Jones, Office of Regional
Counsel, at the same address as above.

The Answer must clearly and directly admit, deny or explain 2 each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint with 3 regard to which you have any knowledge. A failure to admit, 4 deny or explain any material fact allegation will constitute an 5 admission of the allegation. The Answer must also state (1) the 6 circumstances or arguments which constitute the grounds of 7 defense and (2) the facts which you intend to place at issue.

If you fail to file a written Answer within thirty days of 8 9 the effective date of the Complaint, you may be found in default. 10 Respondent's default will constitute an admission of all facts 11; alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of your right to a hearing. 12 A default order may thereafter be issued by the Regional 13 Administrator, EPA, and the penalty proposed in the Complaint 14 will become due and payable without further proceedings.

The public hearing that you request will be held in a loca-16 tion determined in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of 17 Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penal-18 ties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR Part 22 19 (45 Fed. Reg. 24360), a copy of which accompanies the Complaint. 20 The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions 21 of the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 USC 552 et seq., and 22:40 CFR Part 22.

INFORMAL SETTLEMENT

15

Whether or not you request a hearing, you may confer 25 informally with EPA to discuss the alleged facts, violations or 26 amount of the penalty. An informal conference does not, however, 27 affect your obligation to file a written Answer within thirty 28 days of the effective date of the Complaint. The informal

conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the adjudicatory hearing procedure.

Any settlement reached as a result of an informal conference will, in addition to the compliance schedule set forth in the Order above, be embodied in a written Consent Agreement and Final Order. The issuance of the Consent Agreement and Final Order will constitute a waiver of your right to a hearing on any matter to which you have stipulated.

If a settlement cannot be reached through an informal conference, the filing of a written Answer within thirty days of the effective date of the Complaint upon you will preserve your right to a hearing.

EPA encourages all parties against whom a penalty is proposed to explore the possibility of settlement. To request an informal conference, you should contact David M. Jones, Office of Regional Counsel, at the above address, telephone number (415)974-7364.

The effective date of this Complaint is the date of Respondents' receipt of the Complaint.

9/77/84 Date

Harry Seraydarian

Director

Toxics & Waste Management Division

replan

SCOTT W. GORDON, ESQ. GORDON, DEFRAGA, WATROUS & PEZZAGLIA, INC. A Professional Corporation 611 Las Juntas Street

P.O. Box 630

Martinez, California Telephone: (415) 228-1400

5

2

3

4

Attorney for Defendant

7

8

9

6

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EX REL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES,

269037 NO.

Plaintiff.

v.

IT CORPORATION.

Defendant.

CONSENT DECREE

The Plaintiff People of the State of California, ex rel Department of Health Services and the Defendant IT Corporation, by Gordon, DeFraga, Watrous & Pezzaglia, have agreed to the making an entry of this Consent Decree (hereinafter "Decree"), prior to any trial and without any admission of liability, responsibility, or fault, as to any of the matters herein ordered, adjusted, or decreed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Court makes the following findings:

The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter c

this action pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (as amended 42 U.S.C. Sections 6901 et. seq.), the State of California having state delegated authority to administer and enforce the Act, and the Court has jurisdiction over the parties;

- 2. The undersigned representatives of the parties are authorized by the party whom he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this decree as set forth herein.
- 3. IT Corporation owns and operates three facilities for the off site treatment, storage, and/or disposal of hazardous waste, hereinafter the Benicia site, the Vine Hill site, and the Baker site.
- 4. On or about June 29, 1984, the Department of Health Services of the State of California, (hereinafter "DOHS") referred enforcement for alleged violations of permits to the Contra Costa County District Attorney. The referral included the Bericia, Vine Hill, and Baker sites.
- 5. On or about August 3, 1984, the Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA") gave notice to the DOHS. State of California, of alleged violations of operating permits at the Vine Hill and Baker sites. On or about May 23, 1984, the EPA gave notice to the DOHS, State of California, of alleged violatio at the Benicia site.
- 6. On or about September 19, 1984, in a pre-filing conference, Contra Costa County Deputy District Attorney James L. Sepulveda advised the IT Corporation of his intention to file a civil action for injunctive relief, and civil monetary penalties

accordance with the referral and the EPA Notices to the State of alleged violations.

- 7. On or about October 1, 1984, the EPA filed and served "Determinations of Violations" and "Compliance Orders" against IT Corporation, with respect to the Benicia, Vine Hill, and Baker sites. IT Corporation filed answers to the respective violation determinations on October 30, 1984.
- Water Quality Control Board (hereinafter "RWQCB") for the San
 Francisco Bay Area Region, issued a Cleanup and Abatement Order
 (CAO) to the IT Corporation for violations and threatened violations with respect to ground water and ground water monitoring for the Benicia, Vine Hill, and Baker sites, which terms were approved by representatives of the EPA and the DOHS, State of California.

 The DOHS, by inter-agency agreement, has delegated to the Regional Water Quality Control Boards in the various regions of the State of California the administration and enforcement of ground water and ground water monitoring provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"). A true and correct copy of the CAO issued by the RWQCB is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein, which the Court has read and considered.
- 9. The Plaintiff, State of California, filed its complaint in this matter, and the Defendant, IT Corporation, has
 accepted service thereof. The State of California appears throug
 its attorney, James L. Sepulveda, Contra Costa County Deputy

District Attorney. The Defendant IT Corporation appears through its attorney, Scott W. Gordon, Gordon, DeFraga, Watrous & Pezzaglia.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED

AS FOLLOWS:

- A. The Defendant IT Corporation, its officers, employees with actual or constructive notice of the Decree are restrained and enjoined from engaging in or performing, directly or indirectly, the following:
- i. Violating Health and Safety Code Section 25181 and California Administrative Code (CAC) Title 22. Section 66381(a) by knowingly or negligently failing to comply with any provision of any Hazardous Waste Facility Permit or Interim Status Document issued for the Defendant's Vine Hill, Baker, and Benicia facilities, and shall come into compliance in accordance with Section B. herein.
- ii. Violating Business and Professions Code Section 1720 by knowingly or negligently failing to comply with any provision of any Hazardous Waste Facility Permit or Interim Status Document issued for any of the Defendant's Vine Hill, Baker, and Benicia facilities, and shall come into compliance in accordance with Section B. herein.
- B. Defendant IT Corporation, its officers, and employees with actual or constructive notice of this Decree are mandated to perform or cause to be performed the following:
- i. Comply with the CAO issued by the RWQCB, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as through fully set forth

3.

• •

herein dated January 16, 1985, with respect to ground water and ground water monitoring at the Vine Hill, Baker, and Benicia sites.

- ii. Complete the following remedial actions in the manner and in the time frame hereinafter indicated:
- a. Submit the Defendant's findings on the structural integrity of the external and internal dikes that separate incompatible wastes at the Vine Hill facility by March31, 1985.
- b. IT agrees to meet and confer with DOHS by March 29. 1985, in order to discuss DOHS concerns relating to IT's Vine Hill and Oil Reprocess Facilities. If it is determined by DOHS that additional remedial action should be undertaken by IT Corporation, this decree may be amended to reflect the additional compliance dates.
- C. In consideration of the foregoing, and in consideration of any and all past violations known or unknown with respect to the administration and enforcement of hazardous waste laws, rules, and regulations governing the transport, storage, treatment, or disposal on hazardous waste, without trial or adjudication of any facts or issues of law herein, and without this Decree constituting evidence, or an admission of guilt of any act or thing or of any allegation of the Complaint, the Defendant agrees pursuant to Health and safety Code Section 25189(b) and Business and Professions Code Section 17206, that it shall pay to the Plaintiff, the sum of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) as and for a civil penalty. Said sum shall be due and payable on or before March 1, 1985, and shall be made payable to "Treasurer, Contra Costa County".

1 Interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from March 1. 1985, shall be added to the payment set forth in C. above in the 3 event said payment is not timely made. 4 Jurisdiction is retained by the Court for a period of 365 days 5 following the entry of this Decree for the purpose of enabling any 6 party to this Decree to apply to the Court for such further orders 7 and direction s as may be necessary or appropriate to assure com-8 pliance with the terms of the Decree or for the determination of 9 10 11 of this Consent Decree. 12 February &/ . 1985. 13 14 GORDON, ESQ. 15 Counsel for Defendant IT Corporation 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 19 FEB 2 1 1985 Dated: 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

violations of the Decree, if any there be. Said injunction shall terminate without further order of the Court 365 days after entry counsel for Plaintiff State of California THE SUPERIOR COURT

-6-